



**TOWN OF SUNSET BEACH
RESOLUTION IN OPPOSITION TO
ISSUANCE OF WIND ENERGY LEASES
WITHIN 24 NAUTICAL MILES OF NORTH CAROLINA'S SHORES**

WHEREAS, the natural coastal beauty of our viewshed is an essential driver of our economy; and,

WHEREAS, we are deeply committed to and will fight for protection of our viewshed; and,

WHEREAS, the onshore visual impact of wind energy turbines is overwhelmingly determined by a single causal factor, distance of wind turbines from shore; and,

WHEREAS, wind turbines located within the Sunset Beach viewshed would transform our community's natural and historic vista of open ocean to a view of massive industrial machinery; and,

WHEREAS, such a change would represent for us the most destructive commitment of ocean resources that we have ever heard proposed in North Carolina – one that could irreversibly damage the natural environment and resources that we cherish and that drive our economy; and,

WHEREAS, the Bureau of Energy Management (BOEM) has established a 24 nautical mile no-leasing buffer for the State of Virginia to protect viewsheds, BOEM has established a 24 nautical mile no-leasing buffer for the Kitty Hawk WEA to protect viewsheds, and BOEM has established a 33.7 nautical mile no-leasing buffer to protect the Bodie Island Lighthouse; and,

WHEREAS, on November 25, 2015 BOEM published a call for potential wind energy development in the Grans Strand WEA which included potential wind energy leases for sites within our viewshed (and as close as 10 nautical miles). And, BOEM published a Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA). Visual impacts caused by distance from shore must either be eliminated before leases are issued or must be fully analyzed in an Environmental Impact Statement before leases are issued; and

WHEREAS, BOEM currently plans to delay its analysis of visual impacts of wind turbines on Sunset Beach until it is too late to reasonably do anything about wind turbine distance from

shore. BOEM plans to delay its analysis until the following commitments of resources, among others, have occurred:

- BOEM issues a lease for a BOEM-specified area whose distance from shore is determined by BOEM
- A wind energy company has submitted a site assessment plan for the BOEM-specified area
- BOEM has approved a site assessment plan for the BOEM-specified area
- A wind energy company has completed site assessment activities and has decided to propose a Construction and Operation Plan for the BOEM-specified area
- A wind energy company develops, completes, and submits to BOEM its Construction and Operation Plan for the BOEM-specified area
- BOEM receives a Construction and Operation Plan for the BOEM-specified area and BOEM will decide whether to approve, modify, or reject the plan.

At this late stage, as part of BOEM's decision to approve, modify, or reject a Construction and Operation Plan, BOEM plans to analyze visual impacts – impacts that are caused primarily by distance from shore. However, because distance from shore is determined when a lease is issued, the analysis of visual impacts must be either eliminated before leasing or fully analyzed before leasing in order to satisfy the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act and to prevent substantial wasteful expenditure of private and public time, effort, and money on sites that are known to be in unacceptable locations; and,

WHEREAS, if an area leased by BOEM is too close to shore, producing unacceptable visual impacts, we are concerned that (1) BOEM cannot modify a Construction and Operation Plan by specifying a different location than one leased and (2) BOEM will fail to reject a Construction and Operation Plan because of the magnitude of private and government expenditures on a location whose distance from shore was decided prior to those expenditures, when the lease was issued. Conversely, if BOEM, based on proximity to shore and resulting visual impacts, were to reject a Construction and Operation Plan or specify a different location than the one leased, we are concerned that BOEM would fail to successfully defend such a decision; and,

WHEREAS, the reasonable and lawful point in the BOEM process to assess or eliminate visual impacts caused by distance of wind turbines from shore is prior to issuing any lease; and,

WHEREAS, if BOEM proceeds with leasing in the Grand Strand WEA without an EIS, the reasonable, lawful course of action is to exclude from leasing any areas that are within 24 nautical miles of shore and any areas where wind turbines would be visible from the Sunset Beach shoreline.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT, by a vote of (to be inserted), as a follow-up to its November 15, 2015 resolution related to the two Wilmington WEA and the

Grand Strand WEA, the Sunset Beach Town Council respectfully requests that BOEM restrict leasing and approval of site assessment plans in the Grand Strand WEA to exclude locations within 24 nautical miles of Sunset Beach; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, the Town of Sunset Beach respectfully requests BOEM provide at least 30 days written notice to the Town of Sunset Beach before issuing any lease or approving any site assessment plan that includes any location within the Visual Impact Exclusion Area; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, the Town of Sunset Beach is committed to challenge any BOEM issuance of wind energy leases within the Visual Impact Exclusion Area; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT; the Town of Sunset Beach stands in solidarity with the State of North Carolina, with North Carolina coastal communities, and with other communities that may be affected by BOEM wind energy leasing on the continental shelf within 24 nautical miles of the North Carolina or within viewing distance from any North Carolina lighthouse; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, the Town of Sunset Beach calls upon Governor Patrick McCrory, Department of Environmental Quality Secretary Donald van der Vaart, and the North Carolina General Assembly to protect North Carolina's beautiful ocean viewshed and North Carolina's proven tourism driven coastal economy by opposing BOEM's finding of no significant impact ("FONSI") and by opposing wind energy leasing on the continental shelf within 24 nautical miles of the North Carolina coast and within areas where wind turbines would be visible from any shoreline.

This the __ day of January, 2016

Ron Watts
Mayor

ATTEST:

Lisa Anglin, Town Clerk